



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/814,337	03/21/2001	William J. Bolosky	MSI-735US	3684
22971 7590 07/23/2008 MICROSOFT CORPORATION ONE MICROSOFT WAY REDMOND, WA 98052-6399				
EXAMINER GYOREI, THOMAS A				
ART UNIT 2135		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 07/23/2008		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

roks@microsoft.com
ntovar@microsoft.com

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/814,337

Applicant(s)

BOLOSKY ET AL.

Examiner

Thomas Gyorfi

Art Unit

2135

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thomas Gyorfi.

(3) _____.

(2) Christopher Culberson (Applicant's representative).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 July 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 9-11 and 13-22.

Identification of prior art discussed: Howard (US 6098079), Freenet (NPL).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative proposed new limitations to the independent claims regarding creating a hash at the time that a file is modified in order to overcome the current rejections. Examiner argued that the new limitations in question were not only suggested by Howard (col. 2, lines 35-45) but also taught by Freenet (page 10, 3rd paragraph), thus the combination of references already on record would still suggest the claimed invention.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Thomas Gyorfi/
Examiner, Art Unit 2135

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required